IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

TUESDAY, THE 23RD OCTOBER 2007 / 1ST KARTHIKA 1929

WP(C).No. 31480 of 2007(V)

PETITIONER:

K.P.BALACHANDRAN, S/O.LATE
R.KESAVA PILLAI, VALIYAZHIKATH PUTHEN VEEDU,
MANAKKARA, SASTHANCOTTA PO, KOLLAM.

BY ADV. SRI.M.V.THAMBAN

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR,**
   SURVEY AND LAND RECORDS, CIVIL STATION,
   KOLLAM.

2. **THE SUPERINTENDENT OF SURVEY & LAND**
   RECORDS (RE-SURVEY), KOLLAM.

3. **THE HEAD SURVEYOR, RE-SURVEY OFFICE**
   (RE-SURVEY ADALATH), KOTTARAKKARA.

4. **SRI.MAMACHAN, PRESENTLY WORKING AS**
   HEAD SURVEYOR IN THE RE-SURVEY OFFICE
   (ADALATH), KOTTARAKKARA.

5. **DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.I.V.PRAMOD

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 23/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.
==========
W.P.(C) NO. 31480 OF 2007 V
==========

Dated this the 23rd day of October, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Petitioner contends that 11.5 cents of land belonging to him has not been included for the resurvey plan. He is relying on Exts.P2 to P7 documents in support of his title. In view of this, petitioner submitted Ext.P8 application for rectification of the mistake.

2. Ext.P8 itself shows that the Deputy Director, Survey and the Survey Superintendent have directed its consideration. The complaint is that the 3rd respondent is not acting upon Ext.P8 despite the recommendation therein.

3. Now that Ext.P8 is pending with the 3rd respondent, there is no justification for the 3rd respondent to delay the matter any further. Therefore, I dispose of this writ petition directing that the 3rd respondent shall take action on Ext.P8, as

expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within three weeks of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

Petitioner shall produce a copy of this judgment before the 3rd respondent for compliance.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.

Rp